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This talk

• Three key concepts behind how modern architectures run “shader” code

• Knowing these concepts will help you:
  1. Understand space of GPU shader core (and throughput CPU processing core) designs
  2. Optimize shaders/compute kernels
  3. Establish intuition: what workloads might benefit from the design of these architectures?
What’s in a GPU?

Heterogeneous chip multi-processor (highly tuned for graphics)
A diffuse reflectance shader

```cpp
sampler mySamp;
Texture2D<float3> myTex;
float3 lightDir;

float4 diffuseShader(float3 norm, float2 uv)
{
    float3 kd;
    kd = myTex.Sample(mySamp, uv);
    kd *= clamp(dot(lightDir, norm), 0.0, 1.0);
    return float4(kd, 1.0);
}
```

Independent, but no explicit parallelism
Compile shader

1 unshaded fragment input record

```
sampler mySamp;
Texture2D<float3> myTex;
float3 lightDir;

float4 diffuseShader(float3 norm, float2 uv)
{
    float3 kd;
    kd = myTex.Sample(mySamp, uv);
    kd *= clamp ( dot(lightDir, norm), 0.0, 1.0 );
    return float4(kd, 1.0);
}
```

1 shaded fragment output record

```
<diffuseShader>:
sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul  r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
clmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul  o0, r0, r3
mul  o1, r1, r3
mul  o2, r2, r3
mov  o3, l(1.0)
```
Execute shader

Fetch/Decode

ALU (Execute)

Execution Context

<diffuseShader>:
sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
clmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)
Execute shader

Fetch/Decode

ALU (Execute)

Execution Context

<diffuseShader>:

```plaintext
sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
clmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)
```
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Execute shader

```
<diffuseShader>:
sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
clmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)
```
Execute shader

Fetch/Decode

ALU (Execute)

Execution Context

<diffuseShader>:
sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
c1mp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)
### Execute shader

**Fetch/Decode**

**ALU (Execute)**

**Execution Context**

```xml
<diffuseShader>:
sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
clmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)
```
Execute shader

Fetch/Decode

ALU (Execute)

Execution Context

<diffuseShader>:
sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
clmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)
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**CPU-“style” cores**

- **Fetch/Decode**
- **Out-of-order control logic**
- **ALU (Execute)**
- **Fancy branch predictor**
- **Memory pre-fetcher**
- **Execution Context**
- **Data Cache**
  (A big one)
Slimming down

Idea #1:
Remove components that help a single instruction stream run fast

13
Two cores (two fragments in parallel)

fragment 1

Fetch/Decode

ALU (Execute)

Execution Context

<diffuseShader>

sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
cmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)

fragment 2

Fetch/Decode

ALU (Execute)

Execution Context

<diffuseShader>

sample r0, v4, t0, s0
mul r3, v0, cb0[0]
madd r3, v1, cb0[1], r3
madd r3, v2, cb0[2], r3
cmp r3, r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
mul o0, r0, r3
mul o1, r1, r3
mul o2, r2, r3
mov o3, l(1.0)
Four cores (four fragments in parallel)
Sixteen cores (sixteen fragments in parallel)

16 cores = 16 simultaneous instruction streams
Instruction stream coherence

But… many fragments should be able to share an instruction stream!

Beyond Programmable Shading: Fundamentals
Recall: simple processing core

- Fetch/Decode
- ALU (Execute)
- Execution Context
Add ALUs

Idea #2:
Amortize cost/complexity of managing an instruction stream across many ALUs

SIMD processing
Modifying the shader

Original compiled shader:

Processes one fragment using scalar ops on scalar registers
New compiled shader:

Processes 8 fragments using vector ops on vector registers
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Modifying the shader

Fetch/Decode

ALU 1 ALU 2 ALU 3 ALU 4
ALU 5 ALU 6 ALU 7 ALU 8

Ctx Ctx Ctx Ctx
Ctx Ctx Ctx Ctx

Shared Ctx Data

<VEC8_diffuseShader>:
VEC8_sample vec_r0, vec_v4, t0, vec_s0
VEC8_mul  vec_r3, vec_v0, cb0[0]
VEC8_madd vec_r3, vec_v1, cb0[1], vec_r3
VEC8_madd vec_r3, vec_v2, cb0[2], vec_r3
VEC8_clmp vec_r3, vec_r3, l(0.0), l(1.0)
VEC8_mul  vec_o0, vec_r0, vec_r3
VEC8_mul  vec_o1, vec_r1, vec_r3
VEC8_mul  vec_o2, vec_r2, vec_r3
VEC8_mov  vec_o3, l(1.0)
128 fragments in parallel

16 cores = 128 ALUs
= 16 simultaneous instruction streams
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128 [                    ] in parallel
vertices / fragments
 primitives
CUDA threads
OpenCL work items
compute shader threads

primitives

vertices

fragments
But what about branches?

if (x > 0) {
    y = pow(x, exp);
    y *= Ks;
    refl = y + Ka;
} else {
    x = 0;
    refl = Ka;
}

<resume unconditional shader code>
But what about branches?

ALU 1  ALU 2  ...  ALU 8

1   2  ...  8

Time (clocks)

if (x > 0) {
    y = pow(x, exp);
    y *= Ks;
    refl = y + Ka;
} else {
    x = 0;
    refl = Ka;
}

<resume unconditional shader code>

<unconditional shader code>
But what about branches?

Not all ALUs do useful work!
Worst case: 1/8 performance

```
if (x > 0) {
    y = pow(x, exp);
    y *= Ks;
    refl = y + Ka;
} else {
    x = 0;
    refl = Ka;
}
```
But what about branches?

```
if (x > 0) {
  y = pow(x, exp);
  y *= Ks;
  refl = y + Ka;
} else {
  x = 0;
  refl = Ka;
}
```

<unconditional shader code>

<resume unconditional shader code>
Clarification

SIMD processing does not imply SIMD instructions

- Option 1: Explicit vector instructions
  - Intel/AMD x86 SSE, Intel Larrabee
- Option 2: Scalar instructions, implicit HW vectorization
  - HW determines instruction stream sharing across ALUs (amount of sharing hidden from software)
  - NVIDIA GeForce (“SIMT” warps), AMD Radeon architectures

In practice: 16 to 64 fragments share an instruction stream
Stalls!

Stalls occur when a core cannot run the next instruction because of a dependency on a previous operation.

Texture access latency = 100’s to 1000’s of cycles

We’ve removed the fancy caches and logic that helps avoid stalls.
But we have **LOTS** of independent fragments.

**Idea #3:**
Interleave processing of many fragments on a single core to avoid stalls caused by high latency operations.
Hiding shader stalls

Time (clocks)

Fetch/Decode

ALU  ALU  ALU  ALU
ALU  ALU  ALU  ALU
Ctx  Ctx  Ctx  Ctx
Ctx  Ctx  Ctx  Ctx
Shared Ctx Data
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Hiding shader stalls

Time (clocks)

1

Frag 1 … 8

2

Frag 9… 16

3

Frag 17 … 24

4

Frag 25 … 32

Fetch/Decode

ALU ALU ALU ALU

ALU ALU ALU ALU

1

2

3

4
Hiding shader stalls

Time (clocks)

Frag 1 … 8
Frag 9… 16
Frag 17 … 24
Frag 25 … 32

Runnable
Stall
Hiding shader stalls

Time (clocks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frag 1 … 8</th>
<th>Frag 9… 16</th>
<th>Frag 17 … 24</th>
<th>Frag 25 … 32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Runnable

Stall
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Hiding shader stalls

Time (clocks)

Frag 1 … 8
Runnable

Frag 9… 16
Stall
Runnable

Frag 17 … 24
Runnable
Stall
Runnable

Frag 25 … 32
Runnable
Stall
Runnable
Throughput!

Time (clocks)

1

Runnable

Stall

Done!

Frag 1 … 8

2

Start

Stall

Runnable

Done!

Frag 9… 16

3

Start

Stall

Runnable

Done!

Frag 17 … 24

4

Start

Stall

Runnable

Done!

Frag 25 … 32

Increase run time of one group
To maximum throughput of many groups
Storing contexts

Pool of context storage

32KB
Twenty small contexts

(maximal latency hiding ability)
Twelve medium contexts
Four large contexts

(low latency hiding ability)
My chip!

16 cores

8 mul-add ALUs per core
(128 total)

16 simultaneous
instruction streams

64 concurrent (but interleaved)
instruction streams

512 concurrent fragments

= 256 GFLOPs (@ 1GHz)
My “enthusiast” chip!

32 cores, 16 ALUs per core (512 total) = 1 TFLOP (@ 1 GHz)
Summary: three key ideas

1. Use many “slimmed down cores” to run in parallel

2. Pack cores full of ALUs (by sharing instruction stream across groups of fragments)
   - Option 1: Explicit SIMD vector instructions
   - Option 2: Implicit sharing managed by hardware

3. Avoid latency stalls by interleaving execution of many groups of fragments
   - When one group stalls, work on another group
Thank you

Additional information on “supplemental slides” and at http://graphics.stanford.edu/~kayvonf/gblog
Supplemental slides

- Disclaimer #1: the following slides describe “how I think” about the NVIDIA GTX 280, ATI Radeon 4870, and Intel Larrabee GPUs

- Disclaimer #2: Many other factors play a role in actual chip performance

- These slides use the same hand-wavy notion of “core” as I established in the talk

- Remember: you can substitute the term vertex, primitive, CUDA thread, compute shader thread, or OpenCL work item, for “fragment” (pick your favorite language)
GPU block diagram key

- = single “physical” instruction stream fetch/decode (functional unit control)
- = SIMD programmable functional unit (FU), control shared with other functional units. This functional unit may contain multiple 32-bit “ALUs”
  - = 32-bit mul-add unit
  - = 32-bit multiply unit
- = execution context storage
- = fixed function unit
NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280

- **NVIDIA-speak:**
  - 240 stream processors
  - “SIMT execution” (automatic HW-managed sharing of instruction stream)

- **Generic speak:**
  - 30 processing cores
  - 8 SIMD functional units per core
  - 1 mul-add (2 flops) + 1 mul per functional units (3 flops/clock)
  - Best case: 240 mul-adds + 240 muls per clock
  - 1.3 GHz clock
  - $30 \times 8 \times (2 + 1) \times 1.3 = 933$ GFLOPS

- **Mapping data-parallelism to chip:**
  - Instruction stream shared across 32 fragments (16 for vertices)
  - 8 fragments run on 8 SIMD functional units in one clock
  - Instruction repeated for 4 clocks (2 clocks for vertices)
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NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280

Zcull/Clip/Rast

Output Blend

Work Distributor
ATI Radeon 4870

- **AMD/ATI-speak:**
  - 800 stream processors
  - Automatic HW-managed sharing of scalar instruction stream (like “SIMT”)

- **Generic speak:**
  - 10 processing cores
  - 16 SIMD functional units per core
  - 5 mul-adds per functional unit (5 * 2 = 10 flops/clock)
  - Best case: 800 mul-adds per clock
  - 750 MHz clock
  - $10 \times 16 \times 5 \times 2 \times 0.75 = 1.2$ TFLOPS

- **Mapping data-parallelism to chip:**
  - Instruction stream shared across 64 fragments
  - 16 fragments run on 16 SIMD functional units in one clock
  - Instruction repeated for 4 consecutive clocks
ATI Radeon 4870

Zcull/Clip/Rast

Output Blend

Work Distributor
Intel Larrabee

- **Intel speak:**
  - We won’t say anything about the number of cores or clock rate of cores
  - Explicit 16-wide vector ISA
  - If 1GHz clock (then 1 core = 1 LRB unit = 32 GFLOPS from paper)

- **Generic speak:**
  - \( X \) processing cores
  - 16 SIMD functional units per core
  - 1 mul-add per functional unit (2 flops/clock)
  - Best case: 16X mul-adds per clock
  - If you wanted to compete with current GPUs (~1 TFLOP), you need about 32 Larrabee units
    - \( 32 \times 16 \times 2 = 1 \) TFLOP

- **Mapping data-parallelism to chip:**
  - Compilation options determine instruction stream sharing across fragments (a multiple of 16)
  - 16 fragments run on 16 SIMD functional units in one clock
Intel Larrabee (SIGGRAPH paper)